

## Middle School

STUDENT NAME:		CLASS:			
UNIT:	Megastructures	Science			
ISSUE TOPIC	Megastructures and the Environment				
ASSESSMENT TASK:	Investigative Essay				
ASSESSMENT DESCRIPTION:					
• Students are hired as investigative journalists to uncover the environmental secrets behind a chosen megastructure.					
<ul> <li>Students independently investigate one chosen megastructure.</li> </ul>					

- Students research the positive and/or negative impacts that their chosen megastructure has had on the environment.
- Students report their findings in a 400 word expose' to be published in the *Gulf News*.

#### **CONDITIONS OF ASSESSMENT:**

- Length: 400 words
- Due Date: Wednesday 23<sup>rd</sup> March (Week 11)
- In class computer time will be given.
- Scaffolding provided.

#### SUMMARY OF RESULTS

CRITERIA ASSESSED	STANDARD
KNOWLEDGE & UNDERSTANDING	
INVESTIGATING	
COMMUNICATING	
REFLECTING	
OVERALL RESULT	

YEAR 6/7

2010/2011

TERM 2

TASK:

Megastructures

& the

Environment



# Your mission, should you choose to accept it...

You have been asked by management at the Gulf News, to undertake a secretive and highly dangerous mission. Your task is to prepare an essay to be published in the newspaper which uncovers the impact that your chosen megastructure has had on the environment – good and/or bad.

To complete your mission you will need to dig deep, investigating various elements associated with your megastructure. Use the Following outline as a guide.







### 1. Introduction (50 words)

- A broad overview of the relationship between megastructures and the environment.
- Introduce your chosen megastructure.
- Briefly outline what you will be presenting in your essay has your chosen megastructure had a positive and/or negative impact on the environment?
- Use a 'connecting sentence' to go from your introduction to your body.

## 2. Body (250 words)

- Describe the first positive/negative influence your megastructure has had on the environment – use research and references to support what you are saying.
- Describe the second positive/negative influence your megastructure has had on the environment – use research and references to support what you are saying.
- Use a 'connecting sentence' to go from your body to your conclusion.

## 3. Conclusion (100 words)

- Summarise the points you have raised in the body of your work.
- Draw a conclusion on the overall impact of your chosen megastructure on the environment – this should be the same as what you wrote in your introduction.
- Suggest measures to reduce or sustain the environmental impacts that currently exist.



#### SOME KEY QUESTIONS TO GUIDE YOUR INVESTIGATION:

- What impacts did the *construction* of your megastructure have on the environment? (eg. impact on surrounding wildlife, energy consumption, emissions)
- What impact does your megastructure have on the environment now?
- Are there any *future* concerns for the environment because of your megastructure?
- Does your megastructure help the environment in any way?
- What are things that can be done to improve the impact that your megastructure has on the environment?

Good luck with your mission, and we look forward to hearing the truth. The world must know about these environmental goodies and baddies...



# Science – 6/7 Rotation 2, Term 2 – Megastructures & the Environment

Student Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

REPORT ELEMENT	DESCRIPTORS				DESCRIPTORS			K	١N	C	RE
ESSENTIAL LEARNING	E	D	С	В	А		K&U	<	сомм	쒀	
ASSESSABLE ELEMENT	The student work	typically demonstrates eviden	ce of the following:						2		
Format (e.g Title, Names, Bibliography, Intro-Body-Conclusion) Contributes to evidence of the following Way/s of Working communicate scientific ideas, explanations, conclusions, decisions and data, using scientific argument and terminology, in appropriate formats Communication	- Missir Miss - No distinction	mmunication through: ng title and name. ing bibliography. between intro, body and conclusion.	Sound communica - Title and or name in - Adequately written bib information missing and/o - Evidence of an intro, boo be identi	cluded, not both. liography with some or written incorrectly. dy and conclusion can	- Appropriately written t - Thoroughly documented	mmunication through: title and name included. d bibliography, accurately tten. nclusion are obvious.					
Writing (Spelling, grammar, punctuation, use of language)         Contributes to evidence of the following         Way/s of Working - Communicate scientific ideas, data and evidence, using scientific terminology suited to the context and purpose.         Communication	- Poor spelling, with c - Poor use of la	mmunication through: grammar and punctuation onsistent errors. inguage with consistently erent sentences.	Sound Communica - Sound spelling, grammar some er - Sound use of language v sentend	and punctuation with ors. with mostly coherent	- Exceptional spelling, gr with few to - Expert use of language	mmunication through: ammar and punctuation o no errors. e to consistently produce constructed sentences.					
						>					
Introduction (Addresses the relationship between megastructures and the environment, identifies chosen megastructure, identifies the environmental impact of their megastructure) Contributes to evidence of the following Knowledge and Understanding -	the enviro - Focal megastruc or men - Environme megastru	etween megastructures and nment is overlooked. ture is either not mentioned tioned obscurely. ental impact of chosen cture is overlooked. n teacher involvedment	<ul> <li>Adequate attempt at o between megastructures</li> <li>Identifies chosen megas mentions the environr megastructure</li> <li>Some teacher assis</li> </ul>	and the environment. structure and loosely nental impact this e has had.	between megastructures enviror - Identifies chosen mega outlines the impact this n the envir	ell researched connection s and their impact on the nment. astructure and explicitly negastructure has had on ronment. t construction.					





Ethical considerations are involved in decisions made about applications of science. Knowledge and Understanding Investigating					
<ul> <li>Body_(Identifies relevant effects that their megastructure has had on the environment, uses reputable research to support findings).</li> <li>Contributes to evidence of the following Way/s of Working - collect and analyse first- and second-hand data, information and evidence.</li> <li>Way/s of Working - communicate scientific ideas, data and evidence, using scientific terminology suited to the context and purpose.</li> <li>Way/s of Working - reflect on different points of view and recognise and clarify people's values relating to the applications and impacts of science.</li> <li>Knowledge &amp; Understanding - Ethical considerations are involved in decisions made about applications of science.</li> <li>Knowledge can help to make natural, social and built environments sustainable, at a scale ranging from local to global.</li> <li>Knowledge and Understanding Investigating</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Offers limited, unclear and irrelevant effects that chosen megastructure has on environment.</li> <li>Uses little or no research to support findings. Research used is unreliable.</li> <li>Acknowledgement of alternate opinions and/or views is not offered.</li> <li>Reliant upon teacher involvement.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Offers sufficient detail regarding 1-2 relevant effects that chosen megastructure has on environment.</li> <li>Uses some reputable research to support findings.</li> <li>Some references are made to alternate opinions and/or views.</li> <li>Some teacher assistance required.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Offers significant detail to outline two or more highly relevant effects that chosen megastructure has on environment.</li> <li>Uses relevant and reputable research to support findings.</li> <li>Alternate opinions and/or views are identified thoroughly and accurately.</li> <li>Independent construction.</li> </ul>		

#### Page 6 of 7

www.qsa.qld.edu.au © The State of Queensland (Queensland Studies Authority) 2007

#### ASSESSABLE ELEMENTS AND DESCRIPTORS OF QUALITY FOR A-E - QUEENSLAND CURRICULUM, ASSESSMENT AND REPORTING FRAMEWORK

Conclusion (Summarises points raised	Unclear or inaccurate hypothesis given.	Relevant hypothesis with links to evidence on	Clear hypothesis, with accurate and relevant details			
in body, draws conclusions regarding	Hypothesis given without links to evidence.	which the hypothesis is based.	of the observations or evidence on which the			
the overall impact of megastructure on	,,		hypothesis is based.			
the environment, offers solutions to		Some teacher assistance required				
reduce or sustain current	Reliant upon teacher involvement.	some teacher assistance required	Independent construction			
environmental impact)						
Contributes to evidence of the following Way/s of Working - reflect on different points of view and recognise and clarify people's values relating to the applications and impacts of science. Ways of Working - reflect on learning, apply new understandings and identify future applications. Knowledge & Understanding – Ethical considerations are involved in decisions made about applications of science. Knowledge & Understanding - Scientific knowledge can help to make natural, social and built environments sustainable, at a scale ranging from local to global.						
Knowledge & Understanding						
Reflecting						
On Balance Judgement						

## Comments:\_\_\_\_\_

Page 7 of 7



www.qsa.qld.edu.au © The State of Queensland (Queensland Studies Authority) 2007